BERNARDS et al. Appl. No. 10/542,024 Atty. Ref.: 620-378 Amendment

April 4, 2008

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the claims as follows:

Claims 1-4. (Canceled)

5. (Currently Amended) An assay method which includes:

bringing a putative modulator into contact with <u>von Hippel-Lindau tumour</u>

<u>suppressor (VHL) – interacting deubiquitinase enzyme 1 (VDU1)</u> and an ubiquitinated

VDU1 substrate:

determining the ability of the putative modulator to modulate the stabilisation and/or state of ubiquitination of the substrate by VDU1;

bringing the putative modulator into contact with a test system comprising VDU1 and hypoxia inducible factor-alpha (HIF-a);

determining the effect of the putative modulator on the stability and/or state of ubiquitination of HIF-a.

- (Previously Presented) An assay method according to daim 5 in which the test system further comprises VHL.
- 7. (Previously Presented) An assay method according to claim 5, wherein the test system is a cell.
- (Original) An assay method according to claim 7, wherein the cell is under hypoxic conditions.
- (Original) An assay method according to claim 7, wherein the cell is under normoxic conditions

BERNARDS et al. Appl. No. 10/542,024 Attv. Ref.: 620-378

Amendment

April 4, 2008

10. (Currently Amended) An assay method according to claim 7, wherein the

effect of the putative modulator on HIF- α stability is determined by the activity of a HIF-

responsive-reporter gene including a promoter that comprises a target site recognized

by HIF.

Claims 11-12. (Canceled)

13. (Currently Amended) An assay method according to claim 5, wherein the

putative modulator is brought into contact with the test system, which is a cell under

hypoxic conditions such that the HIF pathway is at a high level of activation, whereby

under conditions where VDU1 is capable of stabilising HIF-α, in the absence of the

modulator.

Claims 14-19. (Canceled)

Claim 20. (Cancelled)

Claims 21-36. (Canceled)

- 3 -

1322418